

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 20-0239V

(not to be published)

MICHELLE HORKY,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: May 18, 2023

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Leigh Finfer, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.*

*Ronalda Elnetta Kosh, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On March 3, 2020, Michelle Horky filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as a result of an influenza vaccine she received on December 5, 2018. Petition, ECF No. 1. On December 7, 2022, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner, following briefing and expedited Motions Day argument by the parties. ECF No. 55.

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$19,476.29 (representing \$18,796.50 for fees and \$679.79 for costs). Petitioner's

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed Feb. 7, 2023, ECF No. 60. In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2.

Respondent reacted to the motion on Feb. 21, 2023, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, 3 n.2, ECF No. 63. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2022 are reasonable, and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested 2023 attorney hourly rates as follows: \$275 for work performed by her attorney Leigh Finfer - representing a rate increase of \$25. Motion at 1, 18-19. I find this hourly rate to be reasonable and will award the attorney's fees requested. (And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred.)

I note this case required additional briefing regarding the issue of entitlement and damages and participation in a Motions Day hearing. See Status Report, filed Mar. 11, 2022, ECF No. 50 (reporting an impasse in settlement discussions); Petitioner's Brief in Support of Damages, filed May 11, 2022, ECF No. 51; Hearing Minute Entry, dated Dec. 2, 2022. Petitioner's counsel expended approximately 5.80 hours drafting her brief in support of damages. Attachment to Motion at 17. I find this amount of time to be reasonable.

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs, Attachment to Motion at 21-27. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I have reviewed the requested costs and find them to be reasonable.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). **I award a total of \$19,476.29 (representing \$18,796.50 for fees and \$679.79 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Leigh Finfer.**

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.